## INTRODUCTION TO 2<sup>ND</sup> THESSALONIANS

- **I.** Authorship, place and date of writing.
  - **A.** There is far more debate regarding Paul's authorship of 2<sup>nd</sup> Thessalonians in contrast to 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians that has been generally unchallenged.
  - **B.** Reasons proposed to reject Paul's authorship include:
    - 1. The letter contains many words not found in other Pauline letters.
    - **2.** The style is a stereotype of 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians.
    - 3. Its tone is formal rather than exuding a warm nature as in 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians.
    - **4.** Paul's view of the antichrist is unique in the N.T. and thus not of Pauline origin.
    - 5. The eschatology of the two letters is supposedly inconsistent.
  - **C.** These reasons can be easily and logically dismissed:
    - 1. As to Paul's vocabulary, 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians had its share of unique words (at least 8 hapax's) and any uniqueness otherwise can be explained as to the subject matter being written.
    - **2.** Any stereotypical styles between the two letters are a matter of continued emphasis originating in 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians and still an issue when penning 2<sup>nd</sup> Thessalonians e.g., facing continued persecution (2The.1:4 cp.1The.1:6).
    - **3.** Its formal or more distant tone is easily understood when related to the emotional circumstances in the first letter and the developed problems prompting the second.
    - **4.** The reference to antichrist is not unique in the N.T. (Cf.1Joh.2:18,22; 4:3; 2Joh.1:7; Rev.6:1ff; 13:1ff; etc.), though Paul's insight may be exclusive.
    - **5.** However, so were some of his details on the Rapture in 1The.4:16-17 and this did not make it any less Pauline.
    - **6.** Any eschatological confusion seen between the 2 letters is a matter of interpretation, not inspiration (some say Paul thought the rapture could happen at any time in the CA [misinterpretation of the oratorical "we" in 1The.4:15] and now in 2Thess.2:2-3 he says "not so").
  - **D.** External evidence of the early Church validates Paul's authorship.
    - 1. The early Church fathers, Polycarp, Ignatius and Justin recognized it  $(1^{st} 2^{nd}$  Centuries).
    - **2.** It is included in the Marcionite canon (140 AD) and Muratorian Fragment (200 AD).
    - **3.** Irenaeus (115-202 AD) quoted it by name.
  - E. Internal evidence of Pauline origin includes:
    - 1. Paul's continued reference to Silvanus and Timothy would be expected since all 3 were essential in the founding of this local church. 2The.1:1 cp.1The.1:1
    - **2.** Paul makes a direct reference to himself in 2The.3:17.
    - **3.** Why would another author present an argument bringing attention to a potential forgery if he is trying to submit a forgery? Cp.2The.2:2
    - **4.** The vocabulary, style, phraseology and theology are as clearly Pauline as 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians.
  - **F.** Paul penned this 2<sup>nd</sup> letter not too long after 1<sup>st</sup> Thessalonians both from Corinth in mid to late 52 AD during his second missionary journey (~51-53 AD).

- **II.** Occasion and purpose for writing.
  - **A.** As with the 1<sup>st</sup> epistle, Paul continues encouragement to persevere in the face of testing.
  - **B.** However, the immediate occasion for writing is two-fold: To counter false teaching that they were exposed to respecting the Day of the Lord and to deal with those that refused to work.
  - C. General characteristics of the letter include:
    - 1. This is the shortest of Paul's nine letters to churches.
    - 2. The church continued to face intense persecution from society.
    - **3.** Believers continued to exhibit love to each other.
    - **4.** They are promised vindication at the Parousia of Christ.
    - **5.** They were exposed to fraudulent teaching that they were in the Tribulation.
    - **6.** Paul employs sub-benedictions as in 1Thessalonians to sub-divide the letter. 2The.2:16-17; 3:16 cp.1The.3:11-13; 5:23-24
    - **7.** Paul tells believers to separate from believers that refuse to work and otherwise refuse to follow his teaching in these letters.

## **III.** Outline:

- A. Introduction. 1:1,2
  - 1. Salutation from the missionary team. Vs.1a
  - 2. Recipients. Vs.1b
  - 3. Blessing. Vs.2
- **B.** Encouragement in the face of continued persecution. 1:3-12
  - 1. Thankfulness and commendation for perseverance. Vss.3,4
  - 2. Explaining why believers face persecution. Vss.5-10
  - 3. Intercession for continued +V. Vss.11,12
- C. Correction concerning the Day of the Lord. 2:1-12
  - 1. Embracing false teaching leads to emotional and mental conflict. Vss.1,2
  - 2. Details of the proper eschatology and pertinent signs recalled. Vss.3-12
- **D.** Thanksgiving for their +V. 2:13-14
- **E.** Call to stay +V. 2:15
- F. Sub-benediction. 2:16-17
- **G.** Prayer request and confession of confidence. 3:1-5
- **H.** Paul's directive to separate from the "unruly". 3:6-15
- **I.** Sub-benediction. 3:16
- **J.** Authentication and formal benediction. 3:17-18